

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



CA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/748,405	12/21/2000	William E. Webler	1275.24US01 4564	
7590 03/04/2004		EXAMINER		
Mark A Hollingsworth			MAIORINO, ROZ	
CRAWFORD 1 1270 Northland			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 390 Mendota Heights, MN 55120			3763 DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004	, 21

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
2	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
V -	09/748,405	WEBLER, WILLIAM E				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roz Maiorino	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
<u> </u>						
7-1/-						
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,—	Examinor, Note the attached Time					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) T 1) 4) 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/748,405

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-5 and 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S Patent No.6120500 to Bednarek et al.

Bednarek apparatus comprises of a elongated shaft, with a proximal and distal sections, first lumen a distal which has an opening providing exterior access to, and in fluid communication with the first lumen and which is oriented at an angle (J-shaped) with respect to a longitudinal axis of the shaft; as well as, a guide member within the shaft, and a stabilizing member 16 deployable outside the tubular, with an electrical connector to the proximal portion of the shaft. (Col. 11). The elongated shaft consists of multiple lumens (fig 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/748,405 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S Patent No.6120500 to Bednarek et al, and further in view of U.S Patent No.4969890 to Sugita et al.

As mentioned above Bednarek teaches the invention. However, Bednarek does not teach a guide wire with plurality of indicia on the core to measure axial movement of the shaft. Sugita teaches a catheter comprising of a guide wire 6 with indicia 40 placed on its core to measure the axial movement of the shaft.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined these two studies, because in any type if invasive procedure where the care giver has limited means of visualization of the effected area, any type of indicator that allows the physician to gage the location of the apparatus is an advantage and allows the physician to anticipated the anatomy of the patient without actual visualization of the area.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11-4-2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive

a. Applicant alleges Bednarek is not configured for entry into a coronary sinus ostium, however Bednarek's apparatus is structurally capable of entering the coronary sinus ostium even though it does not teach such method specifically.

Art Unit: 3763

b. Applicant further claims Bednarek fails to teach guide member within the shaft as well as distal tip having an opening. As demonstrated by figure 11 of the reference there is a guide member within the shaft as well as a couple of openings in the distal end.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roz Maiorino whose telephone number is 703-305-2336. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700